



*"A George Hills Company Administered JPA"*

## **CalMHSAs Executive Committee Meeting Minutes from January 30, 2014**

### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Wayne Clark, PhD, CalMHSAs President, Monterey County  
Maureen Bauman, LCSW, CalMHSAs Vice President, Placer County  
CaSonya Thomas, MPA, CHC, CalMHSAs Secretary, San Bernardino County,  
William Arroyo, MD, Los Angeles County, Los Angeles Region  
Alfredo Aguirre, San Diego County, Southern Region  
Karen Stockton, PhD, MSW, Modoc County, Superior Region  
Rita Austin, LCSW, Tuolumne County, Central Region

### **MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Scott Gruendl, MPA, CalMHSAs Treasurer, Glenn County, Superior Region  
Michael Kennedy, MFT, Sonoma County, Bay Area Region  
Jo Robinson, San Francisco City & County, Bay Area Region  
Vic Singh, San Joaquin County, Central Region  
Mary Hale Orange County, Southern Region  
Marvin Southard, DSW, Los Angeles County, Los Angeles Region

### **STAFF:**

John Chaquica, CalMHSAs Executive Director  
Ann Collentine, CalMHSAs Program Director  
Stephanie Welch, CalMHSAs Senior Program Manager  
Sarah Brichler, CalMHSAs Program Manager  
Doug Alliston, Murphy, Campbell, Alliston & Quinn  
Laura Li, CalMHSAs JPA Administrative Manager  
Michelle Yang, CalMHSAs Executive Assistant

### **OTHERS:**

*Karen Lyle, Long Beach*  
*Anara Guard, Education Development Center – Know the Signs Campaign*

### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

Wayne Clark, PhD, Monterey County, CalMHSAs President, Executive Committee Chair, called the teleconference to order at 3:30 p.m.

**2. ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Laura Li, CalMHSA, called roll and a quorum was established at 3:32 p.m. President Clark asked for members of the public and staff to introduce themselves.

**3. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND STAKEHOLDER INPUT**

Doug Alliston, Legal Counsel, reviewed the meeting process, noting changes in the Brown Act require all voting matters to have roll call moving forward. The Chair will take comment from members first. Once members have commented, he will open the subject up for public comment by call-in location followed by comment by those calling in from unposted locations.

**4. CONSENT CALENDAR**

President Clark acknowledged the consent calendar and asked for comment from Committee members. Hearing none, President Clark entertained a motion to approve the consent calendar.

**Action:**            *Staff recommends approval of the consent calendar.*

**Motion:**          *Maureen Bauman, Placer County*

**Second:**         *William Arroyo, Los Angeles County*

Member		Alternate	
Wayne Clark, Monterey County	YES		
Maureen Bauman, Placer County	YES		
CaSonya Thomas, San Bernardino County	YES		
Scott Gruendl, Glenn County			
Michael Kennedy, Sonoma County		Jo Robinson, San Francisco City & County	
Vic Singh, San Joaquin County		Rita Austin, Tuolumne County	YES
Marvin Southard, Los Angeles County		William Arroyo, Los Angeles County	YES
Mary Hale, Orange County		Alfredo Aguirre, San Diego County	YES
Karen Stockton, Modoc County	YES	<i>VACANT, Superior Region</i>	
<i>At large Member</i>			

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**5. PROGRAM MATTERS**

**A. Sustainability Plan Funding Task Force Meeting January 9, 2014**

Maureen Bauman, Vice President, Placer County, gave a brief overview regarding the background of the Sustainability Funding Task Force. Ms. Bauman stated the Board had decided to move forward with sustaining the current projects, and during the August Board meeting, had approved the criteria through which programs would be vetted to decide on sustainability. Staff had requested developing a funding task force during the October Board meeting, asking for guidance from the Board members.

Ms. Bauman stated the initial recommendation to have a target range of an annual flat rate of 4-7% of local PEI funds be dedicated towards statewide PEI projects, with a minimum of 1% required from all member counties. Any exceptions below 4% or above 7% would be reviewed by the Finance Committee annually. William Arroyo, Los Angeles County, asked if the recommendation could be replaced by the parameter #5 listed in the agenda packet. John Chaquica, CalMHSA Executive Director, stated the motion may be more comprehensive if parameters #1-5 were all included. Alfredo Aguirre, San Diego County, voiced his concern regarding counties seeking exceptions, stating the recommendation did not state the ability that counties can and will use the exception clause to seek and invest in one initiative. President Clark responded, stating it was assumed that counties had options.

Dr. Arroyo mentioned the parameters #1-5, stating the criteria for exceptions should be included within the recommendation, and that despite this recommendation and what the Board approves, the local processes will still need to ensure alignment with the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA). Karen Stockton, Modoc County, expressed her great concern with the exception statement and approval of the Finance Committee for exceptions. Ms. Stockton stated the approval process goes against what the MHSA stands for, and it would affect the credibility and operationalization of the JPA. The counties should have the choice and option to participate in the different programs recommended and offered by the JPA. Ms. Bauman responded, saying the Funding Task Force has had extensive discussion regarding the issue of county option and control over funds being spent.

Mr. Aguirre stated the exception clause should be consistent with the MHSA, with the right to submit exceptions. Ms. Stockton requested change in the language, stating the word exception was unnecessary. Ms. Bauman suggested a waiver, as the Finance Committee would like a process in place to track approximately how much would be available in funding. Ms. Stockton recommended asking counties for a statement or letter of intent, to avoid implying counties need permission to vary from the recommended 4-7% funding level. The letter would be submitted to the Finance Committee by the May 1<sup>st</sup> deadline, so the Committee could inform the Board of the funding available. Ms. Bauman confirmed her support for having counties submit a letter, stating the letter would be for every member, not just the counties funding the JPA under 4% or above 7%. Dr. Arroyo also confirmed his support of amending the recommendation, and the Executive Committee endorsed the following motion:

**Action:**            ***Approval of a Plan to Sustain PEI Statewide Programs with funding as follows:***

- 1. One-time funds (contingency, unspent, and interest) to be fully utilized in the first three-year plan, and split equally over the three years.***
- 2. Matching funds required from Program Partners ranging from 10-50% match, with emphasis on education***
- 3. Private funding to include all other funding beyond State and County – i.e. private, foundation, endowment, grant, federal***

**4. State funding sought annually ranging from \$5-\$10M (if none attained the first year due to time or other constraints, current program partner contracts with unexpended funds shall be inserted)**

**5. Local Funding**

**A. All members to annually fund PEI Statewide Plans within the target range of 4-7% of local annual PEI funds**

**B. Minimum annual funding shall be established at 1%**

**C. Letters of Commitment shall be submitted by May 1<sup>st</sup>, in accordance with regulations and statutes that govern the Mental Health Services Act.**

**Motion:** **Maureen Bauman, Placer County**

**Second:** **William Arroyo, Los Angeles County**

Member		Alternate	
Wayne Clark, Monterey County	YES		
Maureen Bauman, Placer County	YES		
CaSonya Thomas, San Bernardino County	YES		
Scott Gruendl, Glenn County			
Michael Kennedy, Sonoma County		Jo Robinson, San Francisco City & County	
Vic Singh, San Joaquin County		Rita Austin, Tuolumne County	YES
Marvin Southard, Los Angeles County		William Arroyo, Los Angeles County	YES
Mary Hale, Orange County		Alfredo Aguirre, San Diego County	YES
Karen Stockton, Modoc County	YES	VACANT, Superior Region	
<i>At large Member</i>			

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**B. State Hospital Beds**

John Chaquica, CalMHSA Executive Director, updated the Committee, stating CalMHSA had a productive meeting with the Department of State Hospitals (DSH) discussing what will be important for FY 2014-2015. The 2013-2014 MOU is finalized, and will be sent out to all 58 counties very soon. Mr. Chaquica stated the next DSH meeting will be held on February 28<sup>th</sup>, prompting a meeting with the State Hospital Beds Work Group on either February 18<sup>th</sup> or 20<sup>th</sup>. The Work Group has stated its recommendation to move to become an advisory Committee, subject to the Brown Act. Mr. Chaquica stated the Work Group is in the process of developing the charter, and will be presenting it to the Board soon. The Work Group has also discussed at length various alternatives, and will be presenting its recommendations and suggestions to the Board soon.

Mr. Chaquica discussed the bed pooling concept, stating the plan is for it to become live on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Rita Austin, Tuolumne County, asked if all counties would be required to sign the MOU. Mr. Chaquica stated only the participating counties will need to sign and return the MOU to CalMHSA for execution.

**Action:** *None, information only.*

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**C. Alternatives to Short – Doyle 2**

Sarah Brichler, CalMHSA Program Manager, gave a brief update to the Committee regarding the billing feasibility study. Ms. Brichler informed the Committee that CalMHSA has continued to work with CMHDA staff to represent counties. There have been several governing council meetings to share perspectives from both the counties and the state. Ms. Brichler stated possible opportunities and alternatives to the D-2 system are developing, and CalMHSA & CMHDA are currently working with Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to develop the process to carry out the efforts. The feasibility study is moving towards consensus, attempting to finalize the broader details.

**Action:** *None, information only.*

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**6. GENERAL DISCUSSION**

**A. Report from CalMHSA President – Wayne Clark**

President Clark gave a brief report regarding the meeting with Senator Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg and Kiyomi Burchill of the California Health and Human Services Agency, stating both meetings were well received.

**Action:** *None, information only.*

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**B. Report from CalMHSA Executive Director – John Chaquica**

Mr. Chaquica provided a quick general update on several topics. CalMHSA staff is working with the California Institute for Mental Health (CiMH) regarding the International Stigma Conference, taking place in San Francisco in February, 2015. Mr. Chaquica stated staff is working with the third draft of the contract with CiMH, and will be finalizing it soon. Staff is also recommending development of various committees to bring feedback and input into organizing and structuring this conference.

Mr. Chaquica called upon Ann Collentine, CalMHSA Program Director, to give an update regarding the Phase 2 Sustainability Steering Committee Representatives. Ms. Collentine requested any Committee member who would be interested being a part of the Steering Committee to reach out to her, stating she was looking for at least 6 county representatives from across the state of California.

Mr. Chaquica gave a brief overview regarding the FPPC (Form 700) that all Board members and Alternates are required to complete annually. CalMHSA staff has procured a software program to make it more efficient and will be in touch with Board members soon regarding the process.

Mr. Chaquica updated the Executive Committee, stating CMHDA has confirmed an at-large member who will be a part of the Executive Committee to bring the total to 10 members, including the alternates.

***Action: None, information only.***

**7. CLOSING COMMENTS**

President Clark asked the Committee if there was any closing comments.

Public comment was heard from the following individual(s):

*None*

**8. ADJOURNMENT**

Hearing no further comments, the meeting was adjourned at 4:22 p.m.