## CALIFORNIA MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AUTHORITY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016



#### **Board of Directors**

#### JUNE 30, 2017

#### **Executive Committee**

Terence M. Rooney, PhD Dawan Utecht Steve Steinberg Bill Walker William J Carter Uma Zykofsky, LCSW Jonathan E. Sherin, MD, PhD William Arroyo Anne Robin, LMFT Alfredo Aguirre, LCSW Noel J. O'Neill, MFT Dorian Kittrell President Vice President Secretary Treasurer Bay Area Region Central Region Los Angeles Region Los Angeles Region Southern Region Southern Region Superior Region Superior Region Colusa County Fresno County Riverside County Kern County Napa County Sacramento County Los Angeles County Los Angeles County San Luis Obispo County San Diego County Trinity County Butte County

#### **Board Members**

James Wagner Alissa Nourse Steven Grolnic-McClurg, LCSW Cynthia Belon, LCSW Heather Snow Patricia Charles-Heathers Amy Lindsey Donna Wheeler Andrea Kuhlen, MPA Gail Zweir. PhD Lisa Lewis, PhD Todd Matcalf **Tiffany Armstrong** Dennis P. Koch, MPA Suzanne Tavano, PHN, PhD Chevon Kothari Jenine Miller, Psy. D. Yvonnia Brown, MSW Karen Stockton, PhD, MSW Robin Roberts, MFT Amie Miller Rebecca Slade, LMFT

Alameda County Alpine County City of Berkeley Contra Costa County Del Norte County El Dorado County Glenn County Humboldt County Imperial County Inyo County Kings County Lake County Lassen County Madera County Marin County Mariposa County Mendocino County Merced County Modoc County Mono County Monterey County Nevada County

Amy Ellis Placer County Bob Brunson Plumas County Allan Yamamoto, LCSW San Benito County Veronica Kelley, LSCW San Bernardino County Kavoos Ghane Bassiri, LMFT, LPCC, CGP San Francisco City and County San Joaquin County Cindy Morishige, LCSW Stephen Kaplan San Mateo County Alice Gleghorn, PhD Santa Barbara County Toni Tullys Santa Clara County Erik Riera, MEd, CAS, MBA Santa Cruz County Donnell Ewert, MPH Shasta County Sarah Collard Siskiyou County Solano County Sandra Sinz Michael Kennedy, MFT Sonoma County **Rick DeGette** Stanislaus County Tony Hobson Sutter-Yuba County Antonette "Toni" Navarro Tri-City Mental Health Center Timothy Durick, PsyD Tulare County Ann Connolly Tuolumne County Elaine Crandall Ventura County Yolo County Karen Larsen

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Independent Auditor's Report   | 1    |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis   | 3    |
| Basic Financial Statements   |      |
| Governmental Fund Balance Sheet –<br>Statement of Net Position   | 11   |
| Statement of Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures<br>and Changes in Fund Balances/Net Position – Statement of Activities   | 12   |
| Notes to the Basic Financial Statements  | 13   |
| Required Supplementary Information   |      |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in<br>Fund Balance – Budget (Non-GAAP) and Actual<br>General Fund – June 30, 2017  | 21   |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in<br>Fund Balance – Budget (Non-GAAP) and Actual<br>General Fund – June 30, 2016  | 22   |
| Other Auditor's Report   |      |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial<br>Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an<br>Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with<br><i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 23   |



# James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants

Accounting, Auditing, Consulting, and Tax

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of Directors California Mental Health Services Authority Sacramento, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and major fund of California Mental Health Services Authority ("CalMHSA") as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise CalMHSA's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Managements Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the minimum requirements prescribed by the State Controller's Office for special district audits. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major fund of California Mental Health Services Authority as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the fiscal years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as well as accounting systems prescribed by the State Controller's Office and State regulations governing special districts.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis, Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance – Budget (Non-GAAP) and Actual – General Fund – June 30, 2017 and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance – Budget (Non-GAAP) and Actual – General Fund – June 30, 2016 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted principally of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### **Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2017 on our consideration of California Mental Health Services Authority's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

James Marta + Company LLP

James Marta & Company Certified Public Accountants Sacramento, California December 21, 2017

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

Management of California Mental Health Services Authority ("CalMHSA") is pleased to present the following discussion and analysis that provides an overview of the financial position and activities of the Authority for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes, which follow this section.

#### **Overview of CalMHSA**

CalMHSA is an independent administrative and fiscal government agency focused on the efficient delivery of California mental health projects. On June 11, 2009, six California counties established CalMHSA as a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) to jointly develop, fund and implement mental/behavioral health projects and educational programs at the state, regional and local levels. California county members can act alone or in collaboration, to participate in the statewide Prevention and Early Intervention (PEI) projects, contract and/or negotiate with State or other providers for mental hospital beds, contract and/or negotiate with the State or federal government for administration of mental health services, operate program risk pools, technical assistance and capacity building program, workforce education training program, and other projects as deemed appropriate.

CalMHSA is headed by a separate Board of Member Counties and an Executive Committee comprised of officers and Statewide Regional Representatives. It employs the administrative firm, specializing in JPA management, of George Hills Company, Inc. and separate legal counsel of Murphy Campbell Guthrie & Alliston. CalMHSA operates within the statutes governing Joint Powers Agreement entities and complies with the Brown Act open meeting requirements.

As of June 30, 2017, 56 members (54 counties, one city and one JPA) work together to develop, fund programs that include, but not limited to, prevention and early intervention, State or other mental hospital beds and similar related services, State or federal government for administration of mental health services, programs or activities including but not limited to the Drug Medi-Cal Treatment Program, managed mental health care, delivery of specialty mental health services; operate program risk pools; provide any other similar or related fiscal or administrative services that would be of value to Members such as group purchasing, contract management, research and development, data management, maintenance of a research depository, training, technical assistance; capacity building; education and training; research, develop, and execute any appropriate policy request from the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) or its affiliates, on a statewide, regional or local level.

#### Background

In 2004, California voters passed Proposition 63 (The Mental Health Services Act) (MHSA), landmark legislation that created an ongoing funding source and a framework for transforming California's traditional community mental health system into a system equipped to support prevention and wellness, and on addressing the unmet needs of California's diverse and underserved population groups with culturally relevant and effective services and education. In 2007, the MHSOAC, which was created as a stipulation of the MHSA to oversee the management of these funds, approved a one-time investment of

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

\$160 million over four-years. Three strategic initiatives were identified through a stakeholder process and approved by the MHSOAC in May 2008, for the distribution of this one-time allocation: \$40 million for Suicide Prevention (SP), \$60 million for Student Mental Health (SMH), and \$60 million for Stigma and Discrimination Reduction (SDR). On April 15, 2010, CalMHSA executed a contract with the California Department of Mental Health (CDMH) for the amount not to exceed \$160 million. The term of this contract was through June 30, 2014.

#### Sustainability - Phase I & Phase II

As the end of the four-year period approached, the CalMHSA Board of Directors adopted a two-phase planning strategy for continuing the investment in statewide PEI efforts. In April 2014, the CalMHSA Board approved a Phase I Funding Plan to sustain the existing Statewide PEI projects through June 30, 2015. Phase I continued some of the current CalMHSA PEI Statewide Projects existing funds and a winding down of others pursuant to the guidance of stakeholders and Board. Phase I was recognized as a short-term sustainability solution for the purpose of providing program partners with additional time to successfully complete their activities and deliverables, and to reduce the risk of any adverse consequences of discontinuing activities (e.g., the ability to measure long-term impact).

In August 2014, the CalMHSA Board approved a Phase II Funding Plan for purpose of continuing work related to PEI projects through June 30, 2017. Funding for Phase I and Phase II is derived from current county members committing a range of 1% to 7% funding from their local PEI funds in addition to CalMHSA seeking other funding to include state and federal resources.

#### 2016/2017 Program Highlights

#### Statewide PEI Project

Key achievements of the Statewide PEI Project during the fiscal year include:

- Reaching the milestone of disseminating over 1 million lime green ribbons
- Over 1 million hardcopy materials were disseminated in counties, schools, and CBOs
- Over 450 people attended the inaugural Each Mind Matters webinar series
- Over \$250,000 in mini-grant funds were provided to CBOs, NAMI affiliates, Active Minds Chapters and Community Colleges to host community outreach events utilizing Each Mind Matters resources and messaging
- The Directing Change Program received over 480 videos submissions from over 100 schools across California, engaging over 1,300 students and impacting overall school climate
- Over 25 new Each Mind Matters culturally adapted resources were developed
- Over 70 news broadcasts, news articles and radio reports discussed programs implemented by the Statewide PEI Project
- Nearly 700 county agencies, schools, local and statewide organizations across California were touched by programs implemented by the Statewide PEI Project

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

While the CalMHSA Statewide PEI Project has made significant accomplishments since its inception in 2011, there continues to be a critical need to continue the investment over the long term. The Phase II Plan for sustaining CalMHSA Statewide PEI Projects called for \$20 million per year - \$10 million was expected to be raised from county MHSA contributions, and \$10 million was expected to be raised from state, federal, or foundation funding. Despite numerous attempts to find diverse funding to sustain the Phase II Plan, funding for implementation has come solely from counties. This has resulted in a reduced implementation of the Plan based upon priorities set out by the Board.

During 2016 a feasibility study was conducted for the purpose of ascertaining potential private interest and support of CalMHSA Statewide PEI Project via a subcontract with a known expert in fund raising. Positive outcomes were derived from the feasibility study indicating there is private interest in possibly funding the Statewide PEI Project, and great interest in the development of a Leadership Council that would help guide efforts towards a fund-raising campaign.

In October 2016, CalMHSA entered into a contract with Lester Consulting Group (LCG) for the purpose of fund development for a three year term. The Board approved a \$500,000 annual budget for each of the next three years, which will pay for LCG consulting services, fund development staff and all associated costs, via a special member fee. Collectively, these funds will allow CalMHSA to implement a project which builds a public/private partnership and campaign that will continue to advance mental wellness in California. This activity is accounted for as one of the strategic programs on the financial statements.

#### Strategic Programs

In addition to the Statewide PEI program, the following strategic programs are also included in CalMHSA's operations during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017:

- **Fiscal Modernization** the goal of the pilot study is to provide counties with the background and structure necessary to consider the risks and benefits associated with proposing changes to California's current specialty mental health delivery system and financial risk structure.
- **State Hospital Beds** CalMHSA acts on behalf member counties (and possibly non-member counties via a contract) in the annual purchase contract for State Hospital Beds (as provided under sections 4330 et seq. of WIC).
- Wellness Center This program was funded by the County of Plumas. CalMHSA, acting as the contract administrator for the County, has contracted with the Plumas Crisis Intervention Resource Center (PCIRC) to establish Wellness Centers in the communities of Chester, Greenville, Portola and Quincy. These Wellness Center will compliment and build on the existing Family Resource Center Model and will be developed with an understanding of best practices in the delivery of community mental health services.
- Suicide Prevention Hotline CalMHSA contracted with Kings View Behavioral Health to operate the Central Valley Suicide Prevention Hotline with specialized support and outreach provided to the seven contributing counties of Fresno, Kings, Mariposa, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus and Tulare.

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

- **Community Response Plan** CalMHSA contracted with subject matter experts to develop a comprehensive suicide response protocol/toolkit for the rural community of the Tahoe/Truckee area.
- **Psychiatric Nurse Workforce Exploration (PNWE)** CalMHSA will research and explore opportunities to increase the number of psychiatrists and/or psychiatric Nurse Practitioners in the Central Region. After sharing findings with county representatives on the Central Region subcommittee, CalMHSA may contract with subject matter experts to develop a program that meets the needs of the region.
- Drug Medi-Cal CalMHSA provided Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Waiver trainings to counties that opted into the DMC-ODS Waiver, counties that received approval to form a regional delivery system, and providers selected by counties to provide DMC-ODS Waiver services.
- Orange County Orange County, Member of CalMHSA, utilized CalMHSA for procuring consulting services, using various providers, for purposes related to completion of the California Health Facilities Financing Authority application and contract procurement for Crisis Stabilization Units.
- Education Development Center (EDC) EDC's suicide prevention resource center is funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration to provide suicide prevention services and resources to a variety of professionals. CalMHSA will create a brief set of guidelines to help staff in state and community programs develop culturally competent suicide prevention materials for the audiences they serve, drawing on CalMHSA's experience tailoring resources for California's diverse communities.
- Sutter Health Systems Sutter Health Valley Area funding would support the Each Mind Matters (EMM) Community Integration and Stigma Reduction Proposal. Grantee will provide community based organization Stigma and Discrimination Reduction (SDR) engagement grants, school SDR engagement grants, will disseminate SDR/EMM materials to Sutter facilities and partners, and will provide assistance on SDR/EMM message integration and use of materials, for maximum impact.
- Los Angeles County Technical Assistance The Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (LACDMH) proposes to engage in a multi-county Innovation project to work with one or more technology companies with experience with virtual mental health care platforms. This includes the capacity to implement technology-based mental health solutions accessed through multiform-factor devices (for example, a computer, smartphone, etc.) to identify and engage individuals, provide automated screening and assessments and improve access to mental health and supportive services focused on prevention, early intervention, family support, social connectedness and decreased use of psychiatric hospitals and emergency services.

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### Financial Highlights for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Revenues    | \$ 7.4 million | Revenues decreased \$1.1 million over the prior year. The decrease in revenues was primarily a result of one-time funding of \$1 million for the Plumas Wellness Center recorded in the 2015/16 fiscal year. Additionally, decreases in funding for State Hospital Beds (\$355 thousand) and PEI (\$491 thousand) were offset by new sources of funding for Public/Private Partnership Development (\$448 thousand) and the Sutter Health Systems contract (\$250 thousand). |
|-------------|----------------|--|
| Expenses    | \$8.7 million  | Expenses decreased \$3.8 million or 31% over the prior year.<br>The budget for current year Phase II sustainability contracts was<br>significantly less than the prior year. Expenses continue to<br>exceed revenue to continue the contract obligations and net<br>assets of prior years.   |
| Assets      | \$7.3 million  | Assets decreased \$1.6 million over the prior year due as a result of payments made to contractors during the year.  |
| Liabilities | \$2.0 million  | Liabilities decreased \$300 thousand over the prior year. As deliverables were received and contracts were closed out, this resulted in less amounts owed to contractors at year-end.  |

#### **Description of the Basic Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to CalMHSA's financial statements: the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets. The statements are accompanied by footnotes to clarify unique accounting policies and other financial information, and required supplementary information. The assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of CalMHSA are reported on a full-accrual basis.

The **Statement of Net Assets** presents information on all of CalMHSA's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two representing net assets (equity). Changes from one year to the next in total net assets as presented on the Statement of Net Assets are based on the activity presented on the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets.

The **Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets** is CalMHSA's income statement. Revenues earned and expenses incurred during the year are classified as either "operating" or "nonoperating". All revenues and expenses are recognized as soon as the underlying event occurs, regardless of timing of the related cash flows.

The Notes to the Financial Statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements. The notes describe the nature of

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

CalMHSA's operations and significant accounting policies as well as clarify unique financial information.

#### Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

The following sections provide additional details on CalMHSA's financial position and activities for fiscal years 2017 and 2016, and a look ahead at economic conditions that may affect CalMHSA in the future.

#### I. Statement of Net Assets

|                               | <br>2017        | 2016            | 2015          | Change<br>Over<br>Prior<br>Year |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| ASSETS                        |                 |                 |               |                                 |
| Current Assets                |                 |                 |               |                                 |
| Cash and cash equivalents     | \$<br>6,309,433 | \$<br>7,713,846 | \$ 14,220,664 | -18%                            |
| Investments - current portion |                 |                 |               |                                 |
| Contractor prepayments        |                 | 60,000          |               |                                 |
| Accounts receivable           | 905,116         | 1,039,048       | 788,380       | -13%                            |
| Prepaid expenses              | <br>44,583      |                 |               | 100%                            |
| <b>Total Current Assets</b>   | 7,259,132       | 8,812,894       | 15,009,044    | -18%                            |
| Investments - Noncurrent      |                 |                 |               | _                               |
| Total Assets                  | <br>7,259,132   | 8,812,894       | 15,009,044    | -18%                            |
| LIABILITIES                   |                 |                 |               |                                 |
| Current Liabilities           |                 |                 |               |                                 |
| Accounts payable              | 1,950,661       | 1,541,735       | 4,481,941     | 27%                             |
| Deferred revenue              | 52,093          | 780,361         | 122,345       | -93%                            |
| WET Program Funding           | <br>            | <br>            |               | _                               |
| Total Current Liabilities     | <br>2,002,754   | 2,322,096       | 4,604,286     | -14%                            |
| RESTRICTED NET POSITION       | \$<br>5,256,378 | \$<br>6,490,798 | \$ 10,404,758 | -19%                            |

Total assets decreased by \$1.6 million from \$8.8 million at June 30, 2016 to \$7.3 million at June 30, 2017. The assets were expected to decrease as payments to contractors for final deliverables and production of products for Phase II were made.

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

Liabilities decreased \$300 thousand from the prior year. Some of the final deliverables for Phase II were received and contracts closed out subsequent to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Since payments for these contracts were made after year-end, it resulted in higher amounts owed to contractors at year-end than in the previous year. This increase was offset by a decrease in deferred revenue, CalMHSA's liability to member counties who prepaid 2016/17 and 2017/18 contributions during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. The applicable contributions were recognized as revenue during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

|                                   | <br>2017        | 2016            |    | 2015        | Change<br>Over<br>Prior<br>Year |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Operating revenues                | \$<br>7,410,292 | \$<br>8,553,192 | \$ | 7,095,078   | -13%                            |
| Operating expenses:               |                 |                 |    |             |                                 |
| Program expenses                  | 7,979,846       | 12,033,564      |    | 26,200,594  | -34%                            |
| Indirect expenses                 | <br>718,831     | 484,161         |    | 1,336,150   | 48%                             |
| Total operating expenses          | <br>8,698,677   | 12,517,725      |    | 27,536,744  | -31%                            |
| Operating income/(loss)           | (1,288,385)     | (3,964,533)     | (  | 20,441,666) | -68%                            |
| Nonoperating income               | <br>53,965      | 50,573          |    | 91,473      | 7%                              |
| Increase/(decrease) in net assets | (1,234,420)     | (3,913,960)     | (  | 20,350,193) |                                 |
| Net position, beginning of year   | 6,490,798       | 10,404,758      |    | 30,754,951  | -38%                            |
| Net position, end of year         | <br>5,256,378   | \$<br>6,490,798 | \$ | 10,404,758  | -19%                            |

#### II. Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

For the fiscal year ended, June 30, 2017, total revenue (operating and non-operating) decreased by \$1.1 million. The decrease in revenues was primarily a result of one-time funding of \$1 million for the Plumas Wellness Center recorded in the 2015/16 fiscal year. There were new sources of funding in 2016/2017 - Public/Private Partnership Development of \$448 thousand and the Sutter Health Systems contract of \$250 thousand. However, this new revenue was offset by decreases in funding for State Hospital Beds (\$355 thousand) and PEI (\$491 thousand).

#### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

Operating expenses were \$8.7 million in fiscal year 2017. This was a \$3.8 million or 31% decrease compared to 2016. The decrease is a result of significant reductions in current year budgeted amounts for Phase II sustainability contracts compared with the prior year.

# Description of Facts or Conditions that are expected to have a Significant Effect on Financial Position or Results of Operations

Through implementation of the Phase III CalMHSA Statewide PEI Project Plan (PEI Project), CalMHSA and its member counties will embark on the 7th year of PEI Project activities. The PEI Project continues to be endorsed by counties but funding with local MHSA funds continues to be challenging as 1) local stakeholders continue to question the applicability and effectiveness of the PEI Project to address specific local needs, 2) contributions to the PEI Project is becoming more difficult to justify year after year, particularly for the larger counties that contribute a higher proportion of the overall Project budget, 3) larger counties that have enough local funding tend to prefer investing funds in developing their own programs, instead of contributing to statewide efforts and 4) counties and stakeholders have expressed great support for the programs – particularly the social marketing campaigns such as Each Mind Matters and Know the Signs – but have also expressed that they are too broad and non-specific to address the local needs of specific target audiences.

Given these concerns, some counties may have declined or reduced their funding level to the PEI Project because of lack of local stakeholder support for statewide initiatives that don't directly apply to specific local needs. CalMHSA wants to facilitate continued county investment in the PEI Project by allowing more flexibility for local activities, referred to as "county specific projects". These county-specific projects would be built from programs that are currently implemented under the Statewide PEI Project, such as Each Mind Matters, Know the Signs, Walk In Our Shoes, Directing Change, or others. Working with the Finance Committee and the Sustainability Task Force, CalMHSA staff will develop a financing and budget framework for how County Specific Projects can be implemented and applied to a region of counties in FY 2018/2019.

The feasibility study conducted in 2016 made it clear that private parties intend to only support activities, not supplant the current funding, and will supplement commensurate with county funding. This requires that counties commit to maintain and build a level of funding in order to secure and leverage those additional private funds - estimated county support to eventually reach \$15 million per year.

**BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

#### GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET -STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

# JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

|   | <br>2017        | 2016 |           |  |
|---|-----------------|------|-----------|--|
| ASSETS  |                 |      |           |  |
| Current Assets:                                 |                 |      |           |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents                       | \$<br>6,309,433 | \$   | 7,713,846 |  |
| Prepaid expenses                                | 44,583          |      | 60,000    |  |
| Receivables                                     | <br>905,116     |      | 1,039,048 |  |
| Total Current Assets                            | \$<br>7,259,132 | \$   | 8,812,894 |  |
| LIABILITIES                                     |                 |      |           |  |
| Current Liabilities:                            |                 |      |           |  |
| Accounts payable                                | \$<br>1,950,661 | \$   | 1,541,735 |  |
| Unearned revenue                                | 52,093          |      | 780,361   |  |
| Total Current Liabilities                       | <br>2,002,754   |      | 2,322,096 |  |
| FUND BALANCE / NET POSITION                     |                 |      |           |  |
| Net Postion:                                    |                 |      |           |  |
| Operations                                      | 527,123         |      | 776,519   |  |
| Obligated Funds Under Contract:                 |                 |      |           |  |
| International SDR Conference                    | (50,113)        |      | (50,113)  |  |
| Tech Asst/Capacity Building                     | 203,272         |      | 203,272   |  |
| WET Program Funding                             | 148,470         |      | 148,470   |  |
| Fiscal Modernization                            | 96,309          |      | 169,910   |  |
| SHB Program Funding                             | 1,683,903       |      | 1,347,309 |  |
| Wellness Center                                 | 139,626         |      | 620,938   |  |
| Suicide Prevention Hotline                      | 119,715         |      | 34,015    |  |
| Community Response Plan                         | 1,045           |      | 10,749    |  |
| PNWE  | 7,576           |      | 7,576     |  |
| Drug Medi-Cal                                   | (6,603)         |      | (5,322)   |  |
| Orange County                                   | 2,862           |      | 2,862     |  |
| Education Development Center, Inc.              | 2,024           |      | 3,493     |  |
| Statewide PEI Project                           | 1,890,674       |      | 3,221,120 |  |
| Sutter Health Systems                           | 190,477         |      | -         |  |
| LA County Tech Assist                           | 46,788          |      | -         |  |
| Public/Private Partnership Development          | 253,230         |      | -         |  |
| Total Restricted                                | <br>5,256,378   |      | 6,490,798 |  |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balance/Net Position | \$<br>7,259,132 | \$   | 8,812,894 |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES/NET POSITION – STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

|   | St | rategic   |            |          |              |              |             |
|---|----|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
|   |    | grams     | Operation  |          | PEI          | 2017         | 2016        |
| REVENUES:                                       |    |           |            |          |              | -            |             |
| Wellness Center Funding                         | \$ | -         | \$ -       | \$       | - \$         | - \$         | 1,000,000   |
| Suicide Prevention Hotline Funding              |    | 636,376   | -          |          | -            | 636,376      | 627,642     |
| Community Response Plan Funding                 |    | 5,000     | -          |          | -            | 5,000        | 34,000      |
| PNWE Funding                                    |    | -         | -          |          | -            | -            | 10,000      |
| SHB Funding                                     |    | 550,802   | -          |          | -            | 550,802      | 905,508     |
| Feasibility Study Funding                       |    | (13,281)  | -          |          | -            | (13,281)     | _           |
| PEI Funding                                     |    | -         | -          |          | 5,437,065    | 5,437,065    | 5,959,792   |
| Orange County Funding                           |    | -         | -          |          | -            | -            | 12,500      |
| Conference Registration                         |    | -         | -          |          | _            | -            | (20,421)    |
| EDC Funding                                     |    | 3,000     | -          |          | _            | 3,000        | 3,500       |
| Application Fee                                 |    | -         | 500        | )        | _            | 500          | 250         |
| Sutter Health Systems Funding                   |    | 250,000   | -          | ,        | _            | 250,000      | -           |
| LA County Tech Assist Funding                   |    | 92,000    | -          |          | _            | 92,000       | -           |
| Public/Private Partnership Development Funding  |    | 448,230   |            |          |              | 448,230      |             |
| License Royalty Fee                             |    |           | 600        | <b>`</b> |              | 600          |             |
| Investment Income                               |    | -         | 53,965     |          | -            | 53,965       | 50,573      |
| Total Revenue                                   |    | 1,972,127 | 55,065     |          | 5,437,065    | 7,464,257    | 8,583,344   |
| Total Revenue                                   |    | 1,972,127 | 55,002     | ,        | 5,457,005    | 7,404,237    | 8,383,344   |
| EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES:                          |    |           |            |          |              |              |             |
| Program Expenses                                |    |           |            |          |              |              |             |
| SDR Conference                                  |    | -         | -          |          | -            | -            | 3,117       |
| SHB Program Expense                             |    | 214,208   | -          |          | -            | 214,208      | 9,963       |
| Feasibility Study Expense                       |    | 60,320    | -          |          | -            | 60,320       | 84,371      |
| Program Expense                                 |    | -         | 189,091    |          | 6,164,050    | 6,353,141    | 10,808,637  |
| Wellness Center Expense                         |    | 481,312   | -          |          | -            | 481,312      | 370,262     |
| Suicide Prevention Hotline Expense              |    | 550,676   | -          |          | -            | 550,676      | 499,481     |
| Community Response Plan Expense                 |    | 14,704    | -          |          | -            | 14,704       | 18,151      |
| PNWE Expense                                    |    | -         | -          |          | -            | -            | 924         |
| Drug Medi-Cal Expense                           |    | 1,806     | -          |          | -            | 1,806        | 4,797       |
| Orange County Expense                           |    | -         | -          |          | _            | -            | 7,763       |
| EDC Expense                                     |    | 3,944     | -          |          | _            | 3,944        | 7           |
| Sutter Health Systems Expense                   |    | 59,523    | -          |          | _            | 59,523       | -           |
| LA County Tech Assist                           |    | 45,212    | -          |          | _            | 45,212       | -           |
| Public/Private Partnership Development Expenses |    | 195,000   |            |          | _            | 195,000      | _           |
| Total Program Expense                           |    | 1,626,705 | 189,091    |          | 6,164,050    | 7,979,846    | 11,807,473  |
| C 1   |    |           |            |          |              |              | ,,          |
| General and Administration                      |    | -         | 115,370    | )        | 603,461      | 718,831      | 689,831     |
| Total Expenditures/Expenses                     |    | 1,626,705 | 304,461    |          | 6,767,511    | 8,698,677    | 12,497,304  |
| Change in Fund Balance/Net Position             |    | 345,422   | (249,396   | <b>)</b> | (1,330,446)  | (1,234,420)  | (3,913,960) |
| FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION                       |    |           |            |          |              |              |             |
| Beginning of year                               |    | 2,493,159 | 776,519    | )        | 3,221,120    | 6,490,798    | 10,404,758  |
| End of year                                     | \$ | 2,838,581 | \$ 527,123 | \$       | 1,890,674 \$ | 5,256,378 \$ | 6,490,798   |

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. REPORTING ENTITY

California Mental Health Services Authority ("CalMHSA") is an independent administrative and fiscal government agency focused on the efficient delivery of California Mental Health Projects. CalMHSA was established by a Joint Powers Agreement on July 1, 2009, under Government Code Section 6500 et seq. among California Counties to obtain and administer public funds to provide certain community mental health services to persons residing within the same counties and cities. Member counties jointly develop, fund and implement mental health services, projects, and educational programs at the state, regional, and local levels. CalMHSA is governed by a Board of Directors, which is composed of the local county or city mental health director from each member, appointed or designated. As of June 30, 2017, there were 56 members (54 counties, one city and one JPA).

#### Admission

To be accepted for membership in CalMHSA, counties must complete an application form and submit the required application fee. The application fee ranges from \$250 - \$1,000 depending on the most recent county population figures published by the State Department of Finance. Counties must then submit a signed participation resolution to CalMHSA that has been approved by the county's Board of Supervisors, execute the Joint Powers Authority Agreement and agree to be to be bound by any subsequent amendments to the agreement, designate an alternate to the Board as representative and complete the required Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) forms.

#### Withdrawal

A member may withdraw from CalMHSA upon written notice no later than December 31 of the fiscal year if it has never become a participant in any program or if it had previously withdrawn from all programs in which it was a participant. A member who withdraws from CalMHSA is not entitled to the return of any payments to the Authority.

CalMHSA is not a legislative agency, nor an approval or advocacy body. CalMHSA is a best practice inter-governmental structure with growing capacity and capability to promote systems and services arising from a shared member commitment to community mental health. CalMHSA supports the values of the California Mental Health Services Act:

- Community collaboration
- Cultural competence
- Client/family-driven mental health system for children, transition age youth, adults, older adults
- Family-driven system of care for children and youth
- Wellness focus, including recovery and resilience
- Integrated mental health system service experiences and interactions

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### A. REPORTING ENTITY (Continued)

The Mental Health Services Act (Proposition 63), passed in November 2004, provides the first opportunity in many years for the California Department of Mental Health (DMH) to provide increased funding, personnel and other resources to support county mental health programs and monitor progress toward statewide goals for children, transition age youth, adults, older adults and families. This Act imposes a 1% income tax on personal income in excess of \$1 million and provides the counties of California the funds needed to set up contract services for strategies to reduce the following negative outcomes that may result from untreated mental illness:

- Suicide
- Incarcerations
- School failure or dropout
- Unemployment
- Prolonged suffering
- Homelessness
- Removal of children from their homes

As the counties are responsible to use these funds as stated, CalMHSA was established in 2009 to help with the contracting of these services.

#### **B. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities display information about CalMHSA. These statements include the financial activities of the overall government. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double counting of internal activities.

The Government-Wide Statement of Net Position presents information on all of CalMHSA's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two presented as net position. Net Position is reported as one of three categories: invested in capital assets, net of related debt; restricted or unrestricted. Restricted net position is further classified as either net position restricted by enabling legislation or net position that are otherwise restricted.

The Government-Wide Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function or program of CalMHSA's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and are, therefore, clearly identifiable to a particular function. CalMHSA does not allocate indirect expenses to functions in the statement of activities. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by a program, as well as grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues, which are not classified as program revenues, are presented as general revenues, with certain exceptions. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues identifies the extent to which each governmental function is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of CalMHSA. CalMHSA reports all direct expenses by function in the Statement of Activities. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a function.

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### **B. BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)**

#### Fund Financial Statements

Fund financial statements report detailed information about CalMHSA. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. CalMHSA has only one operating fund.

#### C. MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Assessments and service charges are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred.

#### Governmental Fund Financial Statement

Governmental fund financial statements (i.e., Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances) are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded under the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. "Available" means the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year.

Non-exchange transactions, in which CalMHSA receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include program funding, assessments and interest income. Under the accrual basis, revenue from program funding and assessments is recognized in the fiscal year for which the program funding and assessments are levied. Under the modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must also be available before it can be recognized.

In August 2014, the CalMHSA Board approved a Phase II Funding Plan for purpose of continuing work related to PEI projects through June 30, 2017. Funding for Phase I and Phase II is derived from current county members committing a range of 1% to 7% funding from their local PEI funds for the next three fiscal years, in addition to CalMHSA seeking other funding to include state and federal resources.

In addition to the PEI program, the following strategic programs were also included in CalMHSA's operations during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017:

• Wellness program – This program was funded by the County of Plumas. CalMHSA, acting as the contract administrator for the County of Plumas, has contracted with the Plumas Crisis Intervention Resource Center (PCIRC). PCIRC is tasked to establish Wellness Centers in the communities of Chester, Greenville, Portola and Quincy. These Wellness Center will

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

compliment and build on the existing Family Resource Center Model and will be developed with an understanding of best practices in the delivery of community mental health services and provide a consumer-driven, community based setting that offers a casual and friendly environment for community members to access mental health and other ancillary services.

- Suicide Prevention Hotline CalMHSA contracted with Kings View Behavioral Health to operate the Central Valley Suicide Prevention Hotline with specialized support and outreach provided to the seven contributing counties of Fresno, Kings, Mariposa, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus and Tulare.
- **Community Response Plan** CalMHSA contracted with subject matter experts to develop a comprehensive suicide response protocol/toolkit for the rural community of the Tahoe/Truckee area. Furthermore, they will develop a template and guidelines that can be used by other Central Region counties to replicate a suicide response protocol/toolkit that meets any Central Region rural community's needs.
- **Psychiatric Nurse Workforce Exploration (PNWE)** CalMHSA will research and explore opportunities to increase the number of psychiatrists and/or psychiatric Nurse Practitioners in the Central Region. After sharing findings with county representatives on the Central Region subcommittee, CalMHSA may contract with subject matter experts to develop a program that meets the needs of the region.
- **Drug Medi-Cal** CalMHSA provided Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Waiver trainings to counties that opted into the DMC-ODS Waiver, counties that received approval to form a regional delivery system, and providers selected by counties to provide DMC-ODS Waiver services. CalMHSA will also provide technical assistance to counties and providers as required by the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) and provide DHCS with monthly progress reports; trainings up to once quarterly and a final report.
- Orange County Orange County, Member of CalMHSA, utilized CalMHSA for procuring consulting services, using various providers, for purposes related to completion of the California Health Facilities Financing Authority application and contract procurement for Crisis Stabilization Units.
- Education Development Center (EDC) EDC's suicide prevention resource center is funded by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration to provide suicide prevention services and resources to a variety of professionals. It is essential that this information be provided in a culturally appropriate manner. CalMHSA will create a brief set of guidelines to help staff in state and community programs develop culturally competent suicide prevention materials for the audiences they serve, drawing on CalMHSA's experience tailoring resources for California's diverse communities.
- Sutter Health Systems Sutter Health Valley Area funding would support the Each Mind Matters (EMM) Community Integration and Stigma Reduction Proposal. Grantee will provide community based organization Stigma and Discrimination Reduction (SDR) engagement grants, school SDR engagement grants, will disseminate SDR/EMM materials to Sutter facilities and

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

partners, and will provide assistance on SDR/EMM message integration and use of materials, for maximum impact.

- Los Angeles County Technical Assistance The Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (LACDMH) proposes to engage in a multi-county Innovation project to work with one or more technology companies with experience with virtual mental health care platforms. This includes the capacity to implement technology-based mental health solutions accessed through multiform-factor devices (for example, a computer, smartphone, etc.) to identify and engage individuals, provide automated screening and assessments and improve access to mental health and supportive services focused on prevention, early intervention, family support, social connectedness and decreased use of psychiatric hospitals and emergency services.
- **Public/Private Partnership Development** CalMHSA entered into an agreement with Lester Consulting Group to render professional counsel to advance the research, strategy, systems, and processes required to launch a \$75 million campaign to advance mental health service throughout California.

Expenditures were recorded under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability was incurred.

#### **D. FUND ACCOUNTING**

The accounts of CalMHSA are organized on the basis of funds or account groups, each of which is considered to be a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. CalMHSA resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. CalMHSA has one governmental fund.

#### Governmental Fund:

*The General Fund* is the general operating fund of CalMHSA. It is used to account for all transactions except those required or permitted by law to be accounted for in another fund.

#### E. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

CalMHSA considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash and cash equivalents.

#### F. INCOME TAXES

CalMHSA is a governmental entity and as such its income is exempt from taxation under Section 115(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 23701d of the California and Taxation Code. Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes has been made in the accompanying financial statements.

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### G. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### H. FUND BALANCE

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *"Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions"*, CalMHSA is required to report fund balances in the following categories, as applicable: Nonspendable, Restricted, Committed, Assigned and/or Unassigned.

*Nonspendable Fund Balance* reflects assets not in spendable form, either because they will never convert to cash (e.g. prepaid expense) or must remain intact pursuant to legal or contractual requirements.

*Restricted Fund Balance* reflects amounts that can be spent only for the *specific purposes* stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation.

*Committed Fund Balance* reflects amounts that can be used only for the *specific purposes* determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority: the Board of Directors. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through resolutions approved by the Board of Directors.

Assigned Fund Balance reflects amounts intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. In accordance with adopted policy, only the Board of Directors is authorized to assign amounts for specific purposes.

*Unassigned Fund Balance* represents the residual classification for the government's general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications.

When expenditures are incurred for purposes of which restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned fund balances are available, CalMHSA considers restricted funds to have been spent first, followed by committed, assigned and unassigned, respectively.

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### 2. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

|                      | 2017            |      | 2016      |
|----------------------|-----------------|------|-----------|
| Cash in banks        | \$<br>64,396    | \$   | 57,875    |
| Money Market Account | 1,471           |      | 1,471     |
| LAIF                 | <br>6,243,566   | 7    | 7,654,500 |
|                      | \$<br>6,309,433 | \$ 7 | 7,713,846 |

#### Cash in Bank

As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, CalMHSA's balances per the bank of \$193,756 and \$261,500 respectively, are insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000. Section 53652 of the California Governmental Code requires financial institutions to secure deposits made by governmental units in excess of insured amounts, by the pledging of governmental securities as collateral. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by governmental units.

#### Money Market Account

As of June 30, 2017, CalMHSA's had cash in a money fund managed by Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC.

#### Local Agency Investment Fund

California Mental Health Services Authority places certain funds with the State of California's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF, which is regulated by the California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California and the Pooled Money Investment Board. The State Treasurers Office pools these funds with those of other governmental agencies in the state and invests the cash. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The monies held in the pooled investment funds are not subject to categorization by risk category. The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. Funds are accessible and transferable to the master account with twenty-four hours' notice. Included in LAIF's investment portfolio are collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage-backed securities, other assetbacked securities, and floating rate securities issued by federal agencies, government-sponsored enterprises and corporations. At June 30, 2017, the interest rate was 0.92%.

LAIF is administered by the State Treasurer and is audited annually by the Pooled Money Investment Board and the State Controller's Office. Copies of this audit may be obtained from the State Treasurer's Office: 915 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California 95814. The Pooled Money Investment Board has established policies, goals, and objectives to make certain that their goal of safety, liquidity, and yield are not jeopardized. Pooled Money Investment Board has established policies, goals, and objectives to make certain that their goal of safety, liquidity, and yield are not jeopardized.

#### NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

#### 3. RECEIVABLES

The receivables balance represents funding revenue for programs that was billed prior to year end, but funds were not received until after year end. Due to the nature of the receivables and the likelihood of collection, no provision for uncollectible accounts has been made.

#### 4. CONTRACT SERVICES

CalMHSA does not have any employees and contracts for all necessary services. This includes contracts for the development and implementation of prevention and early intervention (PEI) programs on a statewide and regional basis. Currently, CalMHSA has awarded twenty eight contracts to twenty eight program partners. See <u>www.calmhsa.org</u> for a complete list of the statewide PEI approved contractors.

#### 5. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS/CONTIGENT LIABILITY

<u>Department of Health Care Services Report on the Limited Review – California Mental Health</u> <u>Services Authority – Mental Health America of California as Subcontractor of CalMHSA.</u>

On August 1, 2016, the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) issued a report on the limited review concerning the performance of Mental Health America of California (MHAC) under an expense-reimbursement contract with CalMHSA. The final audit report showed an adjustment of \$349,197.

On September 2, 2016, CalMHSA responded by letter, explaining it did not believe the audit report justified the adjustments it proposed. CalMHSA cited the absence of any published standards, application of unreasonable standards, citation to inapplicable Medicaid statutes, and consideration of only a part of the entire contract period. Should there be any attempt by DHCS to collect the adjustment amount from CalMHSA, CalMHSA will contest the claim vigorously. CalMHSA is unable to predict the outcome of this matter, and at this time cannot reasonably estimate the exposure on this matter. In its contract with CalMHSA, MHAC agreed to repay CalMHSA if the amounts paid to MHAC were determined not to be reimbursable by CalMHSA or an appropriate state agency. Further, the contract provided that if there is a conflict between a state audit and a CalMHSA audit, the state audit would take precedence.

On a letter dated October 26, 2016, DHCS made a demand of CalMHSA for \$349,197, which CalMHSA is disputing and taking to mediation.

No liability has been booked in the financial statements, as the outcome cannot be determined.

In November 2017, CalMHSA began the informal hearing process with the DHCS.

CalMHSA's management evaluated its 2017 financial statements for subsequent events through December 21, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Management is not aware of any other subsequent events, other than that noted above, that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

# **REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

|  | Original<br>Budget | Final<br>Budget | Actual       | Budget<br>Variance<br>Favorable<br>(Unfavorable) |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| <b>REVENUES:</b>                       |                    |                 |              |  |
| SHB Funding                            | \$ 652,252         | \$ 652,252      | \$ 550,802   | \$ (101,450)                                     |
| Sustainability Funding                 | 5,145,910          | 5,145,910       | 5,437,065    | 291,155  |
| Public/Private Parntership Development | 500,000            | 500,000         | 448,230      | (51,770)   |
| Suicide Prevention                     | 625,000            | 625,000         | 636,376      | 11,376   |
| Non budgeted revenues                  |                    |                 | 391,784      | 391,784  |
| Total Revenue                          | 6,923,162          | 6,923,162       | 7,464,257    | 541,095  |
| EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES:                 |                    |                 |              |  |
| Program Expenses                       |                    |                 |              |  |
| PEI Program Services                   | 7,760,272          | 7,760,272       | 6,353,141    | 1,407,131  |
| SHB Program Funding                    | 624,819            | 624,819         | 214,208      | 410,611  |
| Fiscal Modernization                   | 80,930             | 80,930          | 60,320       | 20,610   |
| Public/Private Parntership Development | 189,696            | 189,696         | 195,000      | (5,304)  |
| Plumas Wellness Center                 | 610,269            | 610,269         | 481,312      | 128,957  |
| Suicide Prevention                     | 735,289            | 735,289         | 550,676      | 184,613  |
| Tech Asst/Capacity Building            | 197,176            | 197,176         | -            | 197,176  |
| Non budgeted expenses                  |                    |                 | 125,189      | (125,189)  |
| Total Project Expense                  | 10,198,451         | 10,198,451      | 7,979,846    | 2,218,605  |
| General and Administration             | 631,574            | 631,574         | 718,831      | (87,257)   |
| Total Expenditures/Expenses            | 10,830,025         | 10,830,025      | 8,698,677    | 2,131,348  |
| Change in Fund Balance/Net Position    | (3,906,863)        | (3,906,863)     | (1,234,420)  | (1,590,253)                                      |
| FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION              |                    |                 |              |  |
| Beginning of year                      | 6,490,798          | 6,490,798       | 6,490,798    |  |
| End of year                            | \$ 2,583,935       | \$ 2,583,935    | \$ 5,256,378 | \$ (1,590,253)                                   |

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

|                                     |    | Original<br>Budget | Final<br>Budget |             | <br>Actual      |    | Budget<br>Variance<br>Yavorable<br>nfavorable) |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|----|--|
| <b>REVENUES:</b>                    |    |                    |                 |             |                 |    |  |
| SHB Funding                         | \$ | 438,642            | \$              | 438,642     | \$<br>905,508   | \$ | 466,866  |
| Sustainability Funding              |    | 4,820,775          |                 | 4,820,775   | 5,959,792       |    | 1,139,017                                      |
| Wellness Center Funding             |    | -                  |                 | 1,000,000   | 1,000,000       |    | -  |
| TTACB Contract                      |    | 120,000            |                 | 120,000     | -               |    | (120,000)                                      |
| Fiscal Modernization                |    | 210,527            |                 | 210,527     | -               |    | (210,527)                                      |
| Amounts Not Budgeted                |    | -                  |                 | -           | <br>718,044     |    | 718,044  |
| Total Revenue                       |    | 5,589,944          |                 | 6,589,944   | <br>8,583,344   |    | 1,993,400                                      |
| EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES:              |    |                    |                 |             |                 |    |  |
| Program Expenses                    |    |                    |                 |             |                 |    |  |
| PEI Program Services                |    | 8,099,737          |                 | 8,299,737   | 10,808,637      |    | (2,508,900)                                    |
| SHB Program Funding                 |    | 438,422            |                 | 438,422     | 9,963           |    | 428,459  |
| Fiscal Modernization                |    | -                  |                 | -           | 84,371          |    | (84,371)                                       |
| Amounts Not Budgeted                |    | -                  |                 | -           | <br>904,502     |    | (904,502)                                      |
| Total Project Expense               |    | 8,538,159          |                 | 8,738,159   | <br>11,807,473  |    | (3,069,314)                                    |
| General and Administration          |    | 522,907            |                 | 522,907     | 689,831         |    | (166,924)                                      |
| Total Expenditures/Expenses         |    | 9,061,066          |                 | 9,261,066   | <br>12,497,304  |    | (3,236,238)                                    |
| Change in Fund Balance/Net Position | l  | (3,471,122)        |                 | (2,671,122) | (3,913,960)     |    | 5,229,638                                      |
| FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION           |    |                    |                 |             |                 |    |  |
| Beginning of year                   |    | 10,404,759         |                 | 10,404,759  | <br>10,404,759  |    | -  |
| End of year                         | \$ | 6,933,637          | \$              | 7,733,637   | \$<br>6,490,799 | \$ | 5,229,638                                      |

**OTHER AUDITOR'S REPORT** 

# James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants



Accounting, Auditing, Consulting, and Tax

#### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Board of Directors California Mental Health Services Authority Rancho Cordova, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund, and the aggregate remaining information of California Mental Health Services Authority, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise California Mental Health Services Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2017

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered California Mental Health Services Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the California Mental Health Services Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California Mental Health Services Authority's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether California Mental Health Services Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. This report is intended solely for the information and use of Management and the Board of Directors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

James Marta + Company LLP

James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants Sacramento, California December 21, 2017